

SECRET

FILE

Bldg + Mr 3

DD/S 71-1540

27 APR 1971

**MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Communications
Director of Security**

**SUBJECT : Technical Research Assistance in Planning
for New Facilities**

I attach copies of correspondence with the Director of Logistics on the above subject together with the original draft proposal. I believe that we should be very conscious of any technical threats to the Headquarters Building or other Agency locations in this area and that we should be tapping all of the expertise which the original draft memorandum to the other Deputies suggested.

(signed) John W. Coffey

**John W. Coffey
Deputy Director
for Support**

cc: D/Logistics

DD/S:JWC:llc (27 April 1971)

Distribution:

0 - D/C

1 - D/S

1 - D/L


1 - DD/S Chrono

✓ 1 - DD/S Subject

Atts: DD/S 71-1469 - Memo for DD/S frm D/L dtd 19 Apr 71 (informal memo re Technical Research Assistance in Planning for New Facilities

DD/S 71-0533 - Memo for DD/I, DD/P, & DD/S&T frm DD/S subj: Technical Research Assistance in Planning for New Facilities (not dated or signed)

SECRET



EYES ONLY
SECRET

71-1469

19 APR 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Support

Dear Jack:

1. I now write to you and, from my point of view, close the issue on the matter of "Technical Research Assistance in Planning for New Facilities." As you may recall, this was a spontaneously generated activity by this Office and had greater significance when it appeared that we were going into a building program at Langley.


2. As you reflected in your memorandum of 13 April to me, I also have had my feeling of "unease" with the issue. The one matter that has always stuck in my mind, however, is that there does appear to be a definite plan to erect the Soviet Embassy on Wisconsin Avenue at an elevation allegedly higher than Langley and at a 4.3 line-of-sight mile distance between the two locations. I well remember when I was in DD/S&T being aware of much concern in the Government over the "electronic flooding" of the U. S. Embassy in Moscow. I do not know what the ultimate diagnosis of the problem was but the portents could have been quite drastic. The state of the art is now 6 years later, and I believe the erection of the Soviet Embassy is a significant development.

3. I agree with you in theory that the expertise to handle the problem should be known to us and what is involved here is basically a Support responsibility. Perhaps the thing to do is merely forward all this material to Oz and ask that

25X1 he have [redacted] and his people be ever mindful of what the problem is or could be.
25X1



John F. Blake
Director of Logistics

SECRET**EYES ONLY**

DD/S 71-1287


13 APR 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Logistics

SUBJECT : Technical Research Assistance in Planning
for New Facilities

1. I have been holding the memorandum to the other Deputies for too long intending to talk with you about my unease with it. Given the uncertainty of calendars and unavailability of [] and the likelihood that I may allow the item to again be submerged, I decided to send a note. My uncertainty about the paper results, I believe, from a number of considerations: (1) the likelihood that the information about intended building in this area will be spread widely in the Agency and might even get outside the Agency. All of this before we in fact have an approved building program; and (2) the involvement of the other Deputies in a field - security - which is essentially a Support responsibility. In theory we should have the expertise and should know pretty precisely where to go elsewhere within the Agency for amplifying or additional technical knowledge.

2. I wonder also since we got a rather positive reaction from the Director on new buildings on campus whether it is appropriate to go to the Deputies at this time assuming that my other uneasiness is not sensible.



John W. Coney
Deputy Director
for Support

DD/S:JWC:llc (9 April 1971)

Distribution:

0 - Addressee

- 1 - DD/S Subject w/xcy DD/S 71-0533
- 1 - DD/S Chrono w/O DD/S 71-0533 and copies

DD/S 71-0533: Memo for DD/I, DD/P & DD/S&T from DD/S subj: Technical


Research Assistance in Planning for New Facilities



Wow!

What is the
genesis of this?
Building Planning Staff --
Tech Security Committee?

I don't understand
why the Deputies?



 18 Feb 71

MR. WATTLES - has reviewed

MR. COFFEY

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Technical Research Assistance in Planning for New Facilities

FROM: C/Building Planning Staff, OL

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. C/Physical Security Division, Office of Security

5 Feb

2.

3. C/Technical Security Division, Office of Security

5 Feb. 1

4.

DD/PT 9ES

5 Feb 47

5. Director of Security

2/5/71

6.

7. C/Communications Security Staff, OC

8 Feb 71

8.

9. Director of Communications

8 Feb 71

10.

11. C/Building Planning Staff, OL

9 Feb 71

12.

13. Director of Logistics

16 FEB 1971

14.

15. Deputy Director for Support

NOTE: The position taken in this memorandum is a consensus of the DD/S officers representing the Offices of Communications, Logistics and Security. It indicates the initial information desired from the four Directorates following their consideration of the stated problems. The memorandum also notes that after examination of the responses, a coordinated plan will be launched in order to obtain the maximum amount of technical information and guidance for providing optimum security in the projected facilities.

Chief,
Building Planning Staff, OL

To 15
This paper has been recast according to the desires of the DD/S since the original submission by this off.

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Technical Research Assistance in Planning for New Facilities

FROM:

Deputy Director for Support

EXTENSION

NO.

25X1

DATE

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

1. Deputy Director for Intelligence

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003800200024-5

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003800200024-5